

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
09/975,830	10/12/2001	Seb J. Savory	0537-1053.1	2275		
7	590 02/11/2003					
Lee, Mann, Smith, McWilliams, Sweeney and Ohlson			EXAMI	EXAMINER		
P.O. Box 2786 Chicago, IL 6		PRITCHETT, JOSHUA L				
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2872			
	•	DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003	DATE MAILED: 02/11/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		`			De			
	``		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			09/975,830	SAVORY ET AL.				
		Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
			Joshua L Pritchett	2872	 			
Peri	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
- - - -	Exter after of the lf NO Failur Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period by the Office later than three months after the maid patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may reply within the statutory minimum of to will apply and will expire SIX (6) M tute, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely ONTHS from the mailing date of this co ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on _						
2a	ı)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) <u>1-34</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Application Papers								
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
	. —	☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	.g., p.,, and c. c.	. 3 (. , (. , (. , .				
		1. Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
		2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume		Application No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
	nment	•	, , ,					
2) 🔲	Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(of Informal Patent Application (PTC	_			

Application/Control Number: 09/975,830

Art Unit: 2872

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-25, drawn to a device to create group delay, classified in class 359, subclass 256.

II. Claims 26-34, drawn to a method of adjusting an optical signal, classified in class359, subclass 483.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case the method to control the polarization could be used in a liquid crystal display device, such as a computer monitor.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and the required searches are not coextensive, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.



Application/Control Number: 09/975,830

Art Unit: 2872

If the applicants elects either Group I or Group II the applicant is further required to elect between the following species for each of the respective Groups.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group I

Species I claims 1-9, discloses a device for differential group delay that comprises at least three birefringent elements with the first, second and third elements having a differential group delay ratio of 1:2:1, and claims only a single controlling means.

Species II claims 10-20, discloses a device for differential group delay that comprises a second control means for controlling the second birefringent element and makes no reference to a ratio between the birefringent elements.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 21-25 from Group I are generic.

Group II

Species I claims 26-29, discloses a method for operating a device to create differential group delay with three birefringent elements with the first second and third elements have a group delay ratio of 1:2:1, and makes no reference to the orientation of the elements laying between 0 and 90 degrees.

Species II claims 30-34, discloses a method for operating a device to create differential group delay with at least four birefringent elements while having the orientation of at

Application/Control Number: 09/975,830

Art Unit: 2872

most two of the elements between 0 and 90 degrees, and makes no reference to a ratio relationship between the elements.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims from Group II are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 2872

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joshua L Pritchett whose telephone number is 703-305-7917. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 7:00 - 3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Cassandra Spyrou can be reached on 703-308-1687. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

JLP

February 5, 2003

James Phan Primary Examiner